arXiv:quant-ph/0503187v1 23 Mar 2005

Existence of Time Operator for a Singular Harmonic Oscillator

V. Mikuta-Martinis and M.Martinis
Theoretical Physics Division, Rudjer Bošković Institute
10002 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail:vmikuta@irb.hr

21. March 2005.

Abstract

The time operator for a quantum singular oscillator of the Calogero-Sutherland type is constructed in terms of the generators of the SU(1,1) group. In the space spanned by the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, the time operator is not self-adjoint. We show, that the time-energy uncertainty relation can be given the meaning within the Barut-Girardello coherent states defined for the singular oscillator.We have also shown the relationship with the time-of-arrival operator of Aharonov and Bohm.

PACS numbers:03.65.Fd, 02.30.Tb

1 Introduction

The existence of a self-adjoint time operator conjugate to a given Hamiltonian is a longstanding problem of quantum mechanics. The unequal role played by time as an observable in classical and quantum mechanics is the main source of controversy . The problem arises because we expect observables to be represented in quantum mechanics by self-adjoint operators.[1,2]. In an attempt to promote time to be an observable, we have to face a well-known argument of Pauli [3] that such an operator cannot be self-adjoint if the spectrum of a self-adjoint Hamiltonian is bounded from below. As a consequence, the time-energy uncertainty relation cannot be deduced from the same kinematical point of view as the position-momentum uncertainty relation. Nevertheless, the search for various time operators and the analysis of their self-adjointness and associated time-energy uncertainty relations have been the subject of a number of papers [4]. The general consensus seems to be that no such self-adjoint operator exists.

Recently, the validity of Pauli's objections has been critically evaluated [5], with the conclusion that there is no a priori reason to exclude the existence of self-adjoint time operators for semibounded Hamiltonians.

In this work we consider the problem of constructing a self-adjoint time operator for a singular harmonic oscillator.

2 The problem

The singular harmonic oscillator of the Calogero-Sutherland type [6] is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{CS} \equiv 2\omega K_3 = \omega^2 K + H, \tag{1}$$

where $K = x^2/2$ and

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \frac{g}{x^2}),\ g > 0. \tag{2}$$

is the Calogero-Moser [7] scale invariant Hamiltonian. We have identified H_{CS} Hamiltonian with the compact generator, K_3, of the SU(1,1) group, which is the dynamical group of this problem. Two other generators of $SU(1,1)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega K - \frac{1}{\omega}H), \\ K_2 &= D, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $D = -(xp + px)/4$ is the scale operator.

The group generators K_3 and $K_\pm = K_1 \pm iK_2$ satisfy the standard commutation relations of the su(1,1) algebra:

$$[K_3, K_\pm] = \pm K_\pm, \quad [K_-, K_+] = 2K_3. \tag{4}$$

Our objective is to construct an operator $\hat{T}$ in terms of the generators $K_3, K_\pm$ that is conjugate to the Hamiltonian H_{CS} and satisfies $[H_{CS}, \hat{T}] = i$.

Let us denote by $|n, k>, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ the complete orthonormal basis states, which diagonalize the compact generator $K_3 = H_{CS}/2\omega$ [8]. The Bargman index $k = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{g + \frac{1}{4}})$ is related to the eigenvalue $k(k-1) = g$ of the quadratic Casimir operator $\hat{C}_2 = K_3^2 - K_1^2 - K_2^2$ of the $SU(1,1)$ group. These states are obtained from $|0, k>$ by n-fold application of K_+:

$$\begin{aligned} |n, k> &= \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(2k+n)n!}}(K_+)^n|0, k>, \\ K_-|0, k> &= 0, \\ K_3|n, k> &= (n+k)|n, k>, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ... \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In the space spanned by the eigenstates of the generator K_3, we immediately encounter the problem. The matrix elements of $[H_{CS}, \hat{T}]$ in the basis $|n, k>$,

$$< n, k|[H_{CS}, \hat{T}]|m, k >= 2\omega(n - m) < n, k|\hat{T}|m, k > \tag{6}$$

vanish for $n = m$. This implies $[H_{CS}, \hat{T}] \neq i$ if $< n, k|\hat{T}|m, k > \neq 0$. This relation is correct only if the operation by $\hat{T}$ on a state $|n, k>$ is of the form

$$\hat{T}|n, k >= \sum_m t_{mn}|m, k > . \tag{7}$$

However, $\hat{T}$ does not have that property if it is conjugate to H_{CS}. In the next Section, we shall study $[H_{CS}, \hat{T}]$ commutator in the time-variable representation, i.e., in the representation in which $\hat{T}$ is diagonal.

3 Construction of $\hat{T}$

Let us observe that from $[K_3, K_-^n] = -nK_-^n$, we can make a simple ansatz that $\hat{T}$ is some power series function of K_- and K_+ such that

$$[K_3, F(K_\pm)] = \pm K_\pm F'(K_\pm) = \frac{i}{4\omega}. \tag{8}$$

A possible solution is

$$\hat{T} = \frac{1}{4i\omega}(lnK_- - lnK_+), \tag{9}$$

which is easily represented in the coherent state representation of the operator K_-.

States which diagonalize the operator K_-

$$K_-|z,k>=z|z,k>, \tag{10}$$

where z is an arbitrary complex number, are known as the Barut-Girardello (BG) coherent states [9], [10]. The expansion of these states over the orthonormal basis $|n,k>$ is

$$|z,k\rangle = \frac{z^{k-1/2}}{\sqrt{I_{2k-1}(2|z|)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!\Gamma(2k+n)}} |n,k\rangle, \tag{11}$$

where $I_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. The above BG states are normalized to unity, they resolve the identity operator, but are not mutually orthogonal

$$< z_1,k|z_2,k >= I_{2k-1}(2\sqrt{z_1^* z_2})[I_{2k-1}(2|z_1|)I_{2k-1}(2|z_2|)]^{-1/2}. \tag{12}$$

Due to this property any quantum state $|\psi>$ can be represented by the analytic function

$$f_\psi(z) = \sqrt{I_{2k-1}(2|z|)}(z^{1/2-k}) < k, z^*|\psi> . \tag{13}$$

The operators $K_\pm$ and K_3 act in the Hilbert space of analytic functions $f_\psi(z)$ as linear differential operators

$$K_+ = z \ , \quad K_- = 2k\frac{d}{dz} + z\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ , \quad K_3 = k + z\frac{d}{dz} \ . \tag{14}$$

In terms of BG coherent states, the time operator $\hat{T}$ is

$$\hat{T} = (4\pi i)^{-1} \int d\mu(z,k) ln(\frac{z}{z*})|z,k><z,k|, \tag{15}$$

where $d\mu(z,k) = 2K_{2k-1}(2|z|)I_{2k-1}(2|z|)d^2z/\pi$.

4 Discussion

BG coherent states can also be written as an exponential operator acting on the vacuum state of K_-,

$$|z,k>= e^{zK_+(K_3+k)^{-1}}|0,k> . \tag{16}$$

In deriving this expression, we have used an operator identity

$$[K_+(K_3+k)^{-1}]^n = K_+^n \frac{\Gamma(K_3+k)}{\Gamma(K_3+k+n)}. \tag{17}$$

Note also that the operator $K_+(K_3+k)^{-1}$ is canonical to K_-:

$$[K_-, K_+(K_3+k)^{-1}] = 1. \tag{18}$$

It is easy to see that $H = \omega(K_3 - K_1)$ is related to K_-:

$$e^{-\omega K} H e^{\omega K} = -2\omega K_-. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, the energy eigenstates of $H|E>= E|E>$ are proportional to the BG coherent states [9,11] if $z = -E/2\omega$:

$$|E>= e^{\omega K}|-\frac{E}{2\omega}, k> . \tag{20}$$

Note also, that the state $<x|E>$, in the limit $E \to 0$, is not normalizable, since $\lim_{E\to 0} <x|E>=<x|e^{\omega K}|0,k> \propto \omega^k x^{2k-1/2}$.
The difficulty arises from the oscillating behavior of $<x|E>$ at large distances [12].

Finally, we consider an explicit construction of time operator for H_{CS} using the method developed in [13,14,15]. We first observe that there exists a singular similarity transformation between H_{CS} and the Hamiltonian of the ordinary harmonic oscillator, $H_h = H_{CS}(g=0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{CS} S &= S H_h, \\ S &= e^{-K_-} e^{K_-^0}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $K_-^0 = K_-(g=0)$. The time operator for H_h was constructed and discussed in [14, 15, 16]. Its construction is simple if we observe that the Casimir operator with $k = 3/4$ can be used to express the operator K in the form

$$\begin{aligned} K &= T_0 H_0 T_0 + \frac{1}{16 H_0} \\ &= Q H_0 Q - \frac{i}{2} Q, \\ Q &= -T_0 + \frac{i}{4 H_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$T_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(x\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p}x) \tag{23}$$

is the time-of-arrival operator of Aharonov and Bohm [16], and $H_0 = p^2/2$. Then the Hermitian operator

$$T_h = \frac{1}{2}(T_h(Q) + T_h^\dagger(Q)) \tag{24}$$

satisfies $[H_h, T_h] = i$, where

$$T_h(Q) = \frac{1}{\omega} arctg(\omega Q). \tag{25}$$

It is now easy to see that the time operator for the Hamiltonian H_{CS} is

$$T_{CS} = ST_hS^{-1}, \;\; S^{-1} \neq S^{\dagger}. \tag{26}$$

Note that in this construction $T_{CS} \neq T_{CS}^{\dagger}$. Formally, in the limit $\omega \to 0$ we obtain the time operator for the scale invariant Hamiltonian H [17].

5 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have presented an algebraic method of constructing Hermitian operators conjugate to a Hamiltonian with $SU(1,1)$ dynamical symmetry. In terms of generators of $SU(1,1)$, the time operator for a singular harmonic oscillator is constructed explicitly, and shown that it can be related to the time-of-arrival operator, T_0 of Aharonov and Bohm. The question whether time operators thus constructed are self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space requires a careful examination of their spectra and eigenfunctions. The time-energy commutation relation is studied in the energy and the time domains. The eigenvalue problem of the operator T_{CS} can be solved in the time domain using BG coherent states. It is not self-adjoint and its eigenfunctions are not orthogonal. Therefore, the problem of finding self-adjoint T_h and T_{CS} is still open [5,18]. Let us also mention that the problem of time-operator for a repulsive singular potential of the Calogero-Moser type [7] is interesting for several reasons. It is scale invariant and has the full conformal group $SO(2,1)$ as a dynamical symmetry group [12] with the generators H, D and K. The spectrum of H, for $g > 0$ is positive, continuous, and bounded from below, but with a non-normalizable ground state. H can be easily extended to the well-known one-dimensional N-body problem of Calogero-Moser [7]. Recently, it has been observed that the dynamics of scalar particles near the horizon of a black hole is also associated with this Hamiltonian [17,19,20,21].

It is important to point out here that the solution of the formal equation $[H, \hat{T}] = i$ is not unique. Any $\hat{T}' = \hat{T} + \phi(H)$, with arbitrary ϕ, satisfies the same canonical commutation relation.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia under Contract No.0098004.

References

[1] J. Von Neumann,*Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton Universitz Press, Princeton, 1955.

[2] E. Prugovečki, *Quantum Mechanics in Hilbert Space*, Academic Press, London, 1971.

[3] J. W.Pauli, in *Handbuch der Physik*, edited by S.Flugge (Springer, Berlin, 1958), Vol.5/1, p.60.

[4] J.Muga, S.Brouard and D.Macías, Ann.Phys. **240**, 351 (1995); J.Muga, C.R.Leavens and J.P.Palao, Phys.Rev. A **58**, 4336 (1998).

[5] E.A.Galapon, Proc. R. Soc. Lond., A **487**, 451, 2671 (2002); *What could have we been missing while Pauli's theorem was in force?*, quant-ph/0303106.

[6] F.Calogero, J.Math.Phys. **3**, 419 (1971); B.Sutherland, ibid, **12**, 246 (1971); **12**, 251 (1971).

[7] F.Calogero, J.Math.Phys. **12**, 419 (1971); J.Moser, Adv.Math. **16**, 1 (1975).

[8] A.M.Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).

[9] A.O.Barut and L.Girardello, Commun.Math.Phys. **21**, 41 (1971).

[10] D. A. Trifonov, J. Phys. A**31**, 5673 (1998).

[11] G.S.Agarwal and S.Chuturvedi, J.Phys. A **28**, 5747 (1995).

[12] V.de Alfaro, S.Fubini and G.Furlan, Nouvo Cim. A **34**, 569 (1976).

[13] C.M.Bender and G.V.Dunne, Phys.Rev. D **40**, 2739 (1989).

[14] P.Shanta, S.Chaturvedi, V.Srinivasan and G.S.Agarwal, Phys.Rev.Lett. **72**, 1447 (1994).

[15] H.R.Lewis, W.E.Lawrence and J.D.Harris, Phys.Rev.Lett. **77**, 5157 (1996).

[16] Y.Aharonov and D.Bohm, Phys.Rev. **122**, 1649 (1961).

[17] M. Martinis and V. Mikuta, FIZIKA B **12**, 285 (2003); *Space-Time Non-Commutativity near Horizon of a Black Hole*, gr-qc/0502111.

[18] T. B. Smith and J. A. Vaccaro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**,2745 (1998); H. R. Lewis, W. E. Lawrence, and J. D. Harris, ibid., 2746.

[19] T.R.Govindarajan, V.Suneeta and S.Vaidya, Nucl.Phys. B **583**, 291 (2000); D.Birmingham, K.S.Gupta and S.Sen, Phys. Lett.B **505**, 191 (2001); K. S. Gupta and S. Sen, Phys. Lett. B **526**,121 (2002)

[20] L. Moretti and N. Pinamonti, Nucl. Phys. B **647**, 131 (2002).

[21] H. E. Camblong and C. R. Ordónez, *Black Hole Thermodynamics from Near-Horizon Conformal Quantum Mechanics*, hep-th/0411008.